## ANALYSIS AND PREVENTION OF MICROPLASTIC POLLUTION E REMOVAL OF MICROPLASTICS FROM WASTEWATER EFFLUENT ADVANCED W2T TECHNOLOGIES

Nirmal kumar.E<sup>1</sup>& Dr.P.Paramaguru<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>PG Scholar, Department of Environmental Engineering (FT), Ponnaiyah Ramajayam Institute of Science and Technology,

Tamilnadu, India

(e-mail: nirmal.elangovan05@gmail.com)

<sup>2</sup> Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Ponnaiyah Ramajayam Institute of Science and Technology, ECR-

Chennai, Tamilnadu, India

#### ABSTRACT

Conventional wastewater treatment with primary and secondary treatment procedure resourcefully removes micro plastics (MPs) from the wastewater. Regardless of the proficient elimination, ultimate effluents can proceed as entrance direction of MPs, given the huge volumes regularly discharged into the water environments. These revisions investigate the removal of MPs from waste matter in four dissimilar municipal wastewater treatment plants utilizing diverse advanced final-stage treatment technologies. The learning incorporated membrane bioreactor treating primary effluent and different tertiary treatment technologies treating secondary effluent. The MBR removed 99.9% of MPs during the treatment (from 6.9 to 0.005 MP L<sup>-1</sup>), rapid sand filter 97% (from 0.7 to 0.02 MP L<sup>-1</sup>), dissolved air flotation 95% (from 2.0 to 0.1 MP L<sup>-1</sup>) and discfilter 40e98.5% (from 0.5 e 2.0 to 0.03e0.3 MP L<sup>-1</sup>) of the MPs throughout the treatment. Final-stage wastewater treatment technologies WWTPs preserve considerably diminish the MP pollution discharged from wastewater treatment plants into the aquatic environments was improved.

Keywords: Micro plastics, Wastewater effluent, Tertiary treatments, MBR

## INTRODUCTION

Plastics have transformed our lives by as long as communal benefits enabling numerous and technological and medical progression. But topical confirmation has designate that our so-called "plastic age" brings with it ecological hazard. Plastic accumulates in the environment as what is recognized as plastic debris pollution. Micro plastics which are generally defined as plastic particles with a size smaller than 5 µm, are of concern because they can be harmful to aquatic and terrestrial life. MP has been detected in every major ocean and numerous freshwater lakes and rivers. While up to 80% of ocean litter much of which is plastic is predictable to be deliver by river systems from inland sources fewer data are obtainable depicting freshwater pathways of litter and MP.

Micro plastic (MP) pollution has gained increasing attention in recent years. Micro plastics, plastic particles in the dimension variety of 1–5000  $\mu$ m, are frequently separated into two categories: primary MPs are produced as raw material for plastic production or as additives to personal concern products, while secondary MPs are formed by fragmentation of superior plastic substance by, for example, UV-light and unconscious friction. Both primary and secondary MPs can spread over substantial distances by wind or currents due to their low weight and small size. Consequently, studies have reported the ubiquitous occurrence of MPs in marine, freshwater and terrestrial habitats from the tropics to the arctic regions and the estimated mass of 0.3–5 mm-sized MPs floating in the world's oceans alone is 7000–35,000 tons. The increase of plastic waste accumulating in landfills and the environment is expected to increase in the coming years and this entails the rise of MP concentrations in the ecosystem as well.

The widespread existence of MPs is of anxiety owing to their potentially injurious impacts on the variety of organisms they encounter. Although current knowledge gaps about the toxic effects of MPs hamper understanding of the significance of MP impact on the ecosystem studies have shown that they can damage the organisms physically and chemically upon ingestion. As such, MPs can cause malnutrition and interfere with the organisms' biochemical processes by introducing potentially toxic compounds into the body, through either leaching plastic additives or acting as vectors for organic pollutants. The environmental public health field has yet to establish a role in managing the presence of MPs in our environment. In recent years, there has been a push to reduce plastic waste and singleuse disposable items by the public as we move towards

more environmentally friendly practices. By-laws or regulations that would help manage plastic waste are in the process of being drafted and implemented on a national and a local scale. To handle the growing concerns from the public regarding MPs and the gradual cultural shift towards sustainability, a greater understanding and knowledge base of MPs is needed by environmental health officers and other related professions in our field in the future.

The aim of this revise was to scrutinize the efficiency of diverse sophisticated final-stage treatment technologies to eliminate micro plastics from sewage. This study includes tertiary treatments; disc filter (DF), rapid sand filtration (RSF) and dissolved air flotation (DAF) and membrane bioreactor (MBR). In totaling, we observe which MP types were detached and which were left in the final effluent after the treatments. The learning was frequent with 24-h computerized composite samplers to include in day discrepancy to examination of MP removal and concentration. We performed comprehensive FTIR analyses to all and whole samples included in the study. In the end, we estimated the proportion of primary and secondary MPs in final effluents.

## **RELATED WORKS**

In [1] S.M. Mintenig, I. Int-Veen, M.G.J. L€oder, S. Primpke et al presents The global presence of micro plastic (MP) in aquatic ecosystems has been shown by various studies. However, neither MP concentration nor their source or sinks are entirely identified. Waste water treatment plants are measured as significant point sources discharging MP to the environment. Samples were purifying by a plastic-preserving enzymaticoxidative practice and succeeding compactness separation using a zinc chloride solution. For analysis, attenuated total indication Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy and central plane selection based transmission micro-FT-IR imaging were functional. Interestingly, one tertiary WWTP had an in addition establish post-filtration that reduced the total MP discharge by 97%. Additionally, the sewage sludge of six WWTPs was examined and the existence of MP, predominantly polyethylene, exposed. Our results propose that WWTPs could be a sink but also a source of MP and thus can be considered to contribute a significant responsibility for environmental MP pollution. The retained material is cooperative with the

# UGC Care Group I Journal Vol-10 Issue-07 No. 1 July 2020

sewage sludge and treated equivalently. To reduce the large water proportion in the sewage sludge polymeric flocculants can be added. Further, the sludge can be drained through centrifugation and compression. Nowadays more than half of the sludge produced by the 46 WWTPs is burnt for energy generation, while agricultural usage is decreasing.

In [2] Mark Anthony Browne, Stewart J. Niven, Tamara S. Galloway, Steve J. Rowland, and Richard C. Thompson et al presents Inadequate products, waste management, and policy are struggling to prevent waste from infiltrating ecosystems. plastic Disintegration into smaller pieces means that the abundance of micrometer-sized plastic in habitats has increased and outnumbers larger debris. As soon as ingested by animals, plastic afford a practicable pathway to transport attach pollutants and additive chemicals into their tissues. In spite of constructive correlations involving concentration of ingested plastic and pollutants in tissues of animals, only some, if any, forbidden experiments have examined whether ingested plastic transfers pollutants and additives to animals. We uncovered lugworms to sand with 5% micro plastic that were presorbed with pollutants and additive chemicals. This Mp transferred pollutants and additive chemicals into burn down tissues of lugworms, establishment a assortment of biological effects, even though clean sand relocates superior concentrations of pollutants into their tissues. In combination of nonylphenol from PVC or sand condensed the ability of coelomocytes to remove pathogenic microorganisms by >60%. Uptake of Triclosan from PVC lessen the capability of worms to influence sediments and derivation mortality, each one by >55%, even as PVC alone complete worms >30% more susceptible to oxidative heaviness

In [3] Fionn Murphy, Ciaran Ewins, Frederic Carbonnier, and Brian Quinn et al presents community effluent release from wastewater treatment mechanism is supposed to be an imperative contributor of micro plastics to the environment as many personal care products contain plastic micro beads. A secondary WwTW was sampled for micro plastics at different stages of the treatment development to ascertain at what period in the treatment progression the MP are being uninvolved. This revise demonstrate that regardless of the well-organized removal rates of MP accomplish by this modern treatment plant when dealing with such a

large volume of effluent even a modest amount of micro plastics being unconfined per liter of effluent could consequence in significant amounts of micro plastics incoming the environment. This is the first study to describe in detail the fate of micro plastics during the wastewater treatment process. Wastewater Treatment Works could potentially be a major source of micro plastics in the aquatic environment. Micro beads second-hand in facial scrubs, toothpaste, and other individual care products are transported in the raw effluent to WwTW, where because of their small dimension they may bypass the waste treatment development. In recent years increased public pressure has led companies and governments to control and ban the use of micro beads. Synthetic clothing, such as polyester and nylon, is also an anxiety as these fabrics can shed thousands of fibers into the wastewater.

In [4] Alexander S. Tagg, Melanie Sapp, Jesse P. Harrison, and Jesús J. Ojeda et al presents Micro plastics (<5 mm) have been predictable in environmental samples on a global scale. While these pollutants possibly will penetrate aquatic environments via wastewater treatment facilities, the abundance of micro plastics in these matrices has not been investigated. Although well-organized methods for the analysis of micro plastics in sediment samples and marine organisms have been published, no methods have been urbanized for detecting these pollutants within organic-rich wastewater samples. In addition, there is no standardized method for analyzing micro plastics isolated from environmental samples. In many cases, part of the identification protocol relies on visual assortment before analysis, which is open to bias. In order to tackle this, a novel scheme for the investigation of micro plastics in wastewater was developed. A pretreatment step using 30% hydrogen peroxide was employed to remove biogenic material, and focal plane array (FPA)- based reflectance micro-Fourier-transform (FT-IR) imaging was exposed to successfully image and identify dissimilar micro plastic types (polyethylene, polypropylene, nylon-6, polyvinyl chloride, polystyrene). Micro plastic-spiked wastewater samples were used to authorize the methodology, resulting in a full-bodied protocol which was nonselective and reproducible (the overall success identification rate was 98.33%).

# UGC Care Group I Journal Vol-10 Issue-07 No. 1 July 2020

In [5] Steve A. Carr, Jin Liu, Arnold G. Tesoro et al presents Municipal wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) are frequently suspected as significant point sources or conduits of micro plastics to the surroundings. To unswervingly investigate these uncertainties, sewage discharges from seven tertiary plants and one secondary plant in Southern California were studied. The revise also appear at influent loads, particle size/type, transportation, and exclusion at these wastewater treatment conveniences. Over 0.189 million liters of effluent at each of the seven tertiary plants were filtered using an assembled stack of sieves with mesh sizes between 400 and 45 mm. additionally, the surface of 28.4 million liters of final effluent at three tertiary plants was skimmed using a 125 mm filtering assembly. The consequences suggest that tertiary effluent is not a significant basis of micro plastics and that these plastic pollutants are successfully detached during the skimming and settle treatment progression. Conversely by a downstream secondary plant, a standard of one micro-particle in each 1.14 thousand liters of concluding effluent was counted. The majority of micro plastics identified in this study had a profile (color, shape, and size) similar to the blue polyethylene particles present in toothpaste formulations. Existing treatment processes were determined to be very effective for removal of micro plastic contaminants entering typical municipal WWTPs.

## Sources of micro plastics

The range of ways in which MPs can be formed supports its pervasiveness within the environment. However, the ultimate source of MPs is plastic itself whether it be man-made or fragmented over time. Understanding how MPs are made and introduced into the environment is an important step towards learning how to mitigate MP waste in the future.

Depending on their size and source, MPs can be classified into two categories: primary and secondary. Primary MPs are intentionally manufactured to its microscopic size. This is most common in industries creating products that contain micro beads, such as facial scrubs and toothpaste. On the other hand, resulting MPs can be produced involuntarily through the chemical, physical, and biological breakdown of superior plastic materials over time. In a terrestrial environment, plastics can undergo degradation due to UV radiation or changing temperatures during thaw–

freeze cycles. This process weakens the structural integrity of the plastic material and enhances their fragmentation into MPs. Similarly, in marine environments, weathering and mechanical abrasion of plastics caused by the movement of ocean waves can also produce MPs

## Micro plastic sampling and analysis

Influent and effluent were collected from each WWTP over the course of a year. Influent was sampled directly downstream of the headwork's but upstream of sludge waste return flows at each facility, and effluent was sampled post disinfection immediately prior to treated effluent discharge points. Samples at the influent and effluent ends of Plum Island were also collected as 24-h flow-weighted composite samples but galvanized steel containers with lids were used to accommodate larger sampling volumes (7.5e11.5 L for influent and 30 L for effluent). Composite sampling of a larger sample volume, especially for treated effluent, has been emphasized in other studies to limit the intra-day influence of source loading and peak flows. The different sample sizes between WWTPs were chosen according to preliminary data indicating expected differences in micro plastic concentrations in effluent. Flow data for treated effluent discharge and sludge wasting rate were collected by plant operators to inform calculations of micro plastic loading. Inflow flow rate was assumed to be the sum of outflow and sludge wasting rate

## Micro plastics in WWTP influent

Average influent micro plastic concentrations were similar between treatment plants. However, accounting for flow rates, Plum Island received the highest load of micro plastics per day in influent: 8000 million MP/d to 20,000 million MP/d. Rifle Ranges and Center St. each received 1000 million to 4000 million MP/ d Fibers were more prevalent than particles in influent across WWTPs and sampling dates and over 75% of micro plastics were observed in the two smaller size fractions. MP color profiles were similar across the study and are represented in a combined average profile. The most common color was white/translucent (60%), followed by black (22%), blue/green (13%), and red (5%).

Influent is the raw wastewater that is collected from residences, commercial businesses, and industries for treatment. Since the waste-stream is characterized by

# UGC Care Group I Journal Vol-10 Issue-07 No. 1 July 2020

advanced

wastewater

the individuals and businesses that produce it and by alterations during the course of travel in the sewer pipe network, variability in MP influent counts should be explained by factors that are external to WWTPs. Service demographics, types of businesses or industry, and consumer behavior therefore must play an important role. Influent MP Concentrations were statistically similar between plants, but at Plum Island WWTP the average per-person loading rate of micro plastic was elevated compared to the other WWTPs and fiber loading was statistically significantly higher

#### Materials and methods

Selected WWTPs and



Fig Different filtering process

The most commonly used advanced final treatment stage technologies were selected for our study. The tertiary treatments included different filtering and flotation techniques. Also, membrane bioreactor was selected. Characteristics of each WWTPs included in this study is given in supplementary data. The pilotscale disc filter consists of two discs composing each of 24 filter panels. The pilot unit was so-called inside-out system where the influent water is introduced inside the filter panels. The particle elimination is based on corporeal maintenance in filters and sludge cake formation within the filter panels. The sludge block arrangement decelerates the filtering, reason water level rise within the cylinder. When water meets the level sensor, backwash is initiated. Backwash is performed with high pressure to rinse off the sludge cake. The particle and nutrient removal can further be enhanced with coagulants. In this study iron based coagulant and cationic polymer were used with dosages of 2 mg/L and

1 mg/L, respectively. Hydraulic retention time in the pilot was 4 min and flow ~ 20m3/h. The overall filtration area was 5.76m2 and pore size of the filters was either 10 or 20 mm

## Rapid (gravity) sand filters (RSF)

Rapid sand filters (RSF) as full-scale tertiary treatment was examined WWTP. In RSF, the wastewater is filtered through a layer of sand. The sand filter composed of 1 m of gravel with gain size of 3e5 mm and 0.5 m of quartz with grain size 0.1e0.5 mm. Apart from physical separation removing suspended solids, adhesion by microbes removes nutrients and microbes. Earlier than the sand filter the progression is based on CAS scheme.

## **Dissolved Air Flotation (DAF)**

Dissolved Air Flotation as full-scale tertiary management was exploratory. In DAF, water is saturated with air at high pressure and then pumped to a flotation tank at 1 atm, forming dispersed water. The released air bubbles in dispersed water adhere to the suspended solids causing them to float to the surface, from where it is removed by skimming. Earlier than the flotation, flocculation chemical Polyaluminium Chloride is additional to the wastewater with dosage of 40 mg/L to augment flocculation. Previous to the DAF, the practice is based on CAS progression.

## Membrane bioreactor (MBR) pilot unit

Membrane bioreactor pilot unit was examined. WWTP is usually based on primary illumination, CAS progression and secondary clarifier sewage on hygienization using peracetic acid resolution. The MBR pilot included Submerged Membrane Unit (SMU) and ultra filtration (UF) process (LF/KUBOTA SMUTM). The membrane system consisted of 20 flat sheet membrane cartridges installed inside the filtration tank. Throughout the filtration, the water is compulsory through membranes beneath negative heaviness created by pumps and composed to the disconnect tank. MBRs are the permutation of membrane filtrations development with balanced development unrefined reactors. This combination treats primary effluent containing suspended solids as well as dissolved organic matter and nutrients. Hence the MBR expertises restore secondary clarifiers in CAS systems. In the

# UGC Care Group I Journal Vol-10 Issue-07 No. 1 July 2020

MBR pilot unit the effective membrane area was  $8m^2$ and the nominal pore size of the membranes 0.4  $\mu$ m.

#### Sample collection

The actual sampling dates and times are given in supplementary data. Samples with three replicates were collected before and after the treatments. The replicates consisted of three independent water samples. A custom made filtering device with in-situ fractionation was used. The mesh-sizes of the filters were 300, 100 and 20 mm, giving particle size fractions of >300 mm, 100e300 mm and 20e100 mm. Sampling full-scale treatments (RSF, DAF) was performed by pumping water (depth ~ 1 m) from the wastewater stream into the filtering device with an electric pump. In pilot-scale treatments the samples were collected from the taps designed for sampling, into the filter device. In addition, samples after the CAS in WWTP were collected to see the possible improved removal capacity provided by MBR method compared to CAS. Water sample volumes were measured with a flow meter (Gardena Water Smart Flow Meter) and varied with the wastewater quality and filter size. The sampling was stopped before the filters were clogged with organic matter. After the sampling, the filters were collected to petri dishes and stored in room temperature.

Supplementary sampling was carried out with programmed 24-h composite samplers. Composite samplers in each WWTP took a sample proportionally and discretely at an interval of 15 min over a 24-h period before and after the treatment unit. The samplers collected wastewater into plastic containers located in closed refrigerators. The disc filter was not included in the composite sampling as the WWTP was not able to provide the equipment.

## **Sample Preparation**

MPs are then extracted from the solids thus collected. Extraction occupied a purification procedure based on the method described. In concise, to disconnect the gather solids from the filters, the filters were sonicated into filtered dematerialized water containing 0.15 g L-1 sodium dodecyl sulfate. The ensuing suspension were incubate primary with cellulolytic enzymes and then with proteolytic enzymes to eliminate most organic resources from the representation matrix. Remaining organic matter was oxidized by hydrogen peroxide catalyzed by iron (II). Subsequently, MPs were

separated from the inorganic particles in a zinc chloride solution (1.70 g cm-3). Finally, the extracted MPs were gathered in 5 mL 50% ethanol.

#### Wastewater characteristics of the selected WWTPs

The foremost wastewater uniqueness of the MP sampling sites is shortening. The consequences were obtained from the analysis of 24-h composite samples composed for the weekly monitoring programs of plants. The samples were taken around the same time as those for the MP study.

#### **Characterization of micro particles**

All samples were visually examined using a stereo microscope with an integrated HD camera. All textile fibers and particles suspected as plastics were counted and the particles further classified as fragments, flakes, films and spheres, and their coloration documented. Particles with cellular structures and soft, easily disintegrating materials were excluded from the further examination. Chemical compositions of the pre-selected particles were analyzed with imaging FTIR spectroscopy. The particles were independently picked from the samples, clean with distill water and positioned onto ZnSe windows and let to dry for roughly one hour, subsequent to which every window was photographed and analyzed with the FTIR. The FTIR spectra were recorded in transmittance mode, in wavelength region of 700e4000 cm<sup>-1</sup> at 4 cm<sup>-1</sup> resolution and with 15 scans. To analyze the spectra, the Thermo Scientific TM Hummel Polymer and Additives FT-IR Spectral Library were used. Fibers are analyzed with textile fiber documents formerly described. The characterization technique allowed to include all particles size >20 µm.

#### **Contamination mitigation**

To minimize contamination, all equipment included in the sampling protocol was rinsed thoroughly with tap water right before the use. Filters were checked with microscope to ensure sufficient rinsing. After sampling the filters were placed in petri dishes. Circumvent MP contamination is demanding and consequently three self-governing controls were made by filtering 100 L of tap water. The tap water was filtered straight from the tap into the filter device and filters treated as actual samples. The pump was not included in the controls. For the 24-h compound sampling, the controls

# UGC Care Group I Journal Vol-10 Issue-07 No. 1 July 2020

illustration was complete by collecting tap water to plastic containers and containers were left inside the sampler for 24-h period. After the 24-h period, the water was filtered and treated as actual composite samples effluent

The MBR extravagance primaries elucidate wastewater with much superior MP concentration compared to secondary effluent, giving higher removal percentage than tertiary treatments. However, MBR gave also the lowest MP concentration of the final effluent, which indicates, that MBR is the most efficient technology in this study to remove MPs from wastewater. The result is expected as the MBR filters had the smallest pore size (0.4 mm) of for all the studied filters

#### Micro plastic removal efficiency

Variations in REs across WWTPs are likely due to differences in treatment units. In addition to potential variation in the treatment efficiencies in the headworks across plants Plum Island has four large, rectangular primary clarifiers with hydraulic detention times of ~2 h. The purpose of primary clarification is to promote solid settling before biological treatment. Each primary clarifier is also equipped with surface skimmers to skim floating solids off the surface of the supernatant water prior to secondary treatment. Depending on density, MPs have the potential to be removed by sedimentation or flotation during primary clarification.

## CONCLUSION

All advanced final-stage wastewater treatment technologies included in our study removed micro plastics (>20 mm). The MBR diminish 99.9% of the MPs from primary sewage and provide also the lowest MP concentration in the concluding effluent. The RSF removed 97%, DAF 95% and DF 40e98.5% of the MPs from secondary effluent during the treatments. Given the large volumes of effluents constantly discharged into the aquatic environments, micro plastic pollution should be taken into consideration, when designing advanced final-stage wastewater treatment technologies and applying them into WWTPs. The treatments also removed all size fractions and shapes of MPs. The smallest size fraction (20e100 mm) and textile fibers were the most common MP types before and after the final treatment stages. These belongings to see the need for final-stage technology to eliminate particularly small size and fiber-like MPs from effluents. Our

learning incorporated inclusive FTIR analysis. MPs were complete of 13 dissimilar polymers, with the majority strong-minded as PES and PE. The proportion of secondary MPs augmented slightly with purification level. The primary MPs comprise largely of micro beads from individual care products and secondary MPs of synthetic textile fibers and fragmented pieces of plastics. The acquaintance of the sources gives the possibility to source organize of the MP pollution before they enter WWTPs

#### REFERENCE

[1] Browne, M.A., Niven, S.J., Galloway, T.S., Rowland, S.J., Thompson, R.C., 2013. Microplastic moves pollutants and additives to worms, reducing functions linked to health and biodiversity. Curr. Biol. 23, 2388e2392.

[2] Carr, S.A., Liu, J., Tesoro, A.G., 2016. Transport and fate of microplastic particles in wastewater treatment plants. Water Res. 91, 174e182.

[3] Chua, E., Shimeta, J., Nugegoda, D., Morrison, P.D., Clarke, B., 2014. Assimilation of polybrominated diphenyl ethers from microplastics by the marine amphipod, Allorchestes compressa. Environ. Sci. Technol. 48, 8127e8134.

[4] Dris, R., Gasperi, J., Rocher, V., Saad, M., Renault, N., Tassin, B., 2015. Microplastic contamination in an urban area: a case study in Greater Paris. Environ. Chem. 12, 592e599.

[5] Fendall, L.S., Sewell, M.A., 2009. Contributing to marine pollution by washing your face: microplastics in facial cleansers. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 58 (8), 1225e1228.

[6] Mintenig, S.M., Int-Veen, I., Loder, M.G., Primpke, S., Gerdts, G., 2017. Identification of microplastic in effluents of waste water treatment plants using focal plane array-based micro-Fourier-transform infrared imaging. Water Res. 108, 365e372.

[7] Miranda, D.A., de Carvalho-Souza, G.F., 2016. Are we eating plastic-ingesting fish? Mar. Pollut. Bull. 103 (1e2), 109e114.

[8] Murphy, F., Ewins, C., Carbonnier, F., Quinn, B., 2016. Wastewater treatment works (WwTW) as a source of microplastics in the aquatic environment. Environ. Sci. Technol. 50, 5800e5808.

# UGC Care Group I Journal Vol-10 Issue-07 No. 1 July 2020

[9] Rios, L., Joes, P., Moore, C., Narayan, U., 2010. Quantification of persistent organic pollutants adsorbed on plastic debris from the Northern Pacific Gyre's "eastern garbage patch". J. Environ. Monit. 12, 2226e2236.

[10] Rochman, C., Hentschel, B., Teh, S., 2014. Longterm sorption of metals is similar among plastic types: implications for plastic debris in aquatic environments. PLoS One 9 (1), e85433.

[11] Rochman, C.M., Tahir, A., Williams, S.L., Baxa, D.V., Lam, R., Miller, J.T., Teh, F.C., Werorilangi, S., Teh, S.J., 2015. Anthropogenic debris in seafood: plastic debris and fibers from 476 textiles in fish and bivalves sold for human consumption. Sci. Rep. 5, 14340.

[12] Schneiderman, E.T., 2015. Discharging Microbeads to Our Waters: an Examination of Wastewater Treatment Plants in New York. https://ag.ny.gov/pdfs/2015\_

 $Microbeads\_Report\_FINAL.pdf.$ 

[13] Tagg, A.S., Sapp, M., Harrison, J.P., Ojeda, J.J., 2015. Identification and quantification of microplastics in wastewater using focal plane array-based reflectance micro- FT-IR imaging. Anal. Chem. 87, 6032e6040.

[14] Talvitie, J., Heinonen, M., Paakkonen, J.P., Vahtera, E., Mikola, A., et al., 2015. Do wastewater treatment plants act as a potential point source of microplastics? Preliminary study in the coastal Gulf of Finland, Baltic Sea. Water Sci. Technol. 72, 1495e1504.

[15] Talvitie, J., Mikola, A., Set€al€a, O., Heinonen, M., Koistinen, A., 2017. How well is microlitter purified from wastewater? - A detailed study on the stepwise removal of microlitter in a tertiary level wastewater treatment plant.Water Res. 109, 164e172.

[16] Ziajahromi, S., Neale, P., Rintoul, L., Leusch, F., 2017. Wastewater treatment plants as a pathway for microplastics: development of a new approach to sample wastewater-based microplastics. Water Res. 112, 93e99.