AN ELABORATE REVIEW OF SATELLITE IMAGE SEGMENTATION THROUGH DEEP NETWORK

Vamsi Krishna Pedarla
Research Scholar ,Dept. Of E.C.E,
Bangalore University,
Jnana Bharathi, Bengaluru – 560 056
Email:vamsi192627@gmail.com

Dr K.Praveen Krishna
Prof., Dept.Of E.C.E
Bangalore University,
Jnana Bharathi, Bengaluru – 560 056
Email:praveen27@gmail.com

Abstract

In terms of quality and dependability, the use of learning-aided devices in remote detection has proven to be a safe haven in terms of reliability and quality. Images extracted from satellite captures are expected to be of good quality and should be as free of mutilation as possible. A successful use of the Generative Adversarial Network (GAN) has been demonstrated to reduce overfitting during the preparation process. Ill-preparedness techniques can be useful in a variety of applications, such as object location and clinical picture examination, among others.The majority of the strategies are semi-regulated, which can deal with the issue of a set number of preparation tests being performed. During the past decade, cutting-edge, DL-assisted approaches to satellite image examination have been widely adopted. A semantic division of normal pictures is achieved by using two organisations (division and discriminator). The work detailed here discusses the technique, investigations, and outcomes associated with efforts made to extricate various areas from satellite pictures. In this work, a semi-administered antagonistic learning technique with design extension and a couple of different increases is introduced, which is used to remove various locations of high value (RoIs) from satellite images. Despite the fact that the material is not labelled, some management is implemented during the preparation process. The technique is being tested with four different information management instruments.

To eliminate the RoIs from the satellite images, an aggregate of seven classifiers is used. DNNs have also undergone modifications and fundamental developments to improve the nature of information information in turn increasing the volume of datasets. As a benchmark, a multilayer perceptron (MLP) with several secret layers and built with backpropagation (BP) is used. Other classifiers, including delicate max, SVM, KNN, SOM, and PNN, are evaluated for the extraction of material from satellite images. The semi-managed ill-disposed learning technique has been used to extract multiple regions of interest (RoIs) from satellite images.Despite the fact that some information is left unlabeled, some oversight is included in the proposed approach. The DeepGlobe Land Cover Classification Challenge dataset is being examined.

Keywords: Satellite Images, Segmentation, GAN, Deep Network, DNN

1. Background and Overview

In terms of quality and dependability, the use of learning-aided devices in remote detection [1][2] innovation has proven to be a safe haven in terms of reliability and quality. The images extracted from satellite captures are expected to be of good quality and should be as free of mutilation as possible to the greatest extent possible. A variety of qualities associated with nature are shown to be implemented in high-resolution satellite images, resulting in more subtle shading, form, surface, structure, and thickness [1] variations. These satellite image data shows are useful in a variety of real-world applications, such as flood forecasting, deforestation forecasting, climate forecasting, land cover planning, and so on, which have a significant impact on culture and the environment. In these applications, the extraction of uniquely finished locations from a satellite image is a big and critical task to do.

A plethora of picture division techniques have been developed by analysts in order to separate different locations from satellite images. In any case, these strategies may not be effective in all high-resolution satellite images because it is extremely difficult to think about the surface of different districts when looking at a satellite image. Therefore, when dealing with such images, early information-based strategies are beneficial and necessary in order to complete the division cycle in an effective manner. In this context, the significance of learning-supported or artificial intelligence (AI)-based instruments is elevated to the forefront of the discussion.

In the most recent couple of many years, learning-supported or artificial intelligence-based approaches for satellite image research and content extraction have gained widespread acceptance. Recently, there has been a movement toward the use of profound realising, which is now the unavoidable approach for the advancement of learning-supported or artificial intelligence-based strategies. As a method for content extraction in PC vision areas, many sophisticated learning-based strategies and models for picture division are available, all of which use prior information as a mechanism for content extraction. AlexNet [2], VGG-16 [3], ResNet [4], Recurrent Convolutional Neural Networks (RCNN) [5], and a slew of other neural network architectures have made significant strides in the semantic division of images. All but a handful of other best in class computations are predicated on these approaches as well. The authors of [6] and [7] used

Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN)-based structures for hyperspectral image (HSI) analysis in their respective papers. Additionally, in [8], the creators presented a calculation for semantic segmentation of ghettos in satellite images that was based on move learning on totally convolutional neural organisations and used entirely convolutional neural organisations.

In spite of the fact that sophisticated learning-based procedures result in an effective exhibition for semantic picture separation, the models are frequently plagued by a serious problem known as overfitting [9][10]. An overfitting problem occurs when a profound learning-based model learns the intricacies of a situation more than is necessary. Furthermore, when the model is applied on new information, the noise contained in the preparation material has an unexpectedly negative impact on the presentation of the model [11]. The reason for this is that these strategies are expected to prepare a massive number of learnable boundaries, necessitating the need for a massive amount of information in order to do so. When only a limited amount of preparation information is available, the problem of overfitting becomes quite severe. Because the collection of high-resolution satellite images is either expensive or time-consuming, limited preparation information for such images is a natural problem for high-resolution satellite images. Accordingly, successful and innovative approaches for developing profound learning-based models are required to overcome the problem of overfitting in machine learning.

A successful use of the Generative Adversarial Network (GAN) [12] has been demonstrated to be effective in reducing overfitting during the preparation process. The GAN is composed of two organisations: the generating (G) and the discriminative (D) organisations [12] [13]. The GAN is prepared in front of the hostile computation. The primary goal of G is to provide tests that provide objective information that is as close to the authentic ones as is reasonably practicable. After that, D makes an effort to separate the tests he has made from the objectives. Because the two companies are prepared using back-spread (BP), the G and D emerge as being more effective in their tasks once each preparation cycle is completed. As a result, even when only a small number of preparation tests are used, the problem of overfitting can be avoided by using antagonistic prepping.

One or more of the applications [14, 15, 16, and 17] have made use of improperly prepared preparation techniques, where the majority of the strategies are semi-regulated, which can deal with the issue of a set number of preparation tests being performed. Strategies for ill-preparedness preparation that are semi-managed are also useful in a variety of applications, such as object location and clinical picture examination, among others. In [18], the authors discuss an item location technique that is based on pitifully regulated and significant level component learning. Additionally, in [19], a technique for inconsistency identification that is based on semi-directed ill-disposed preparation has been developed and demonstrated. Object identification has been accomplished once more in [20] by the use of semi-explained feeble markings. Additionally, these applications demonstrate the ability to circumvent the problem of restricted information access.

2. Deep Network and Corresponding Works – A review

A reasonable agreement and bits of knowledge of the retrieved data from satellite images are required for some legitimate applications such as climate prediction, flood forecasting, deforestation, and so on [21]. It is necessary and crucial to extract the numerous districts from a satellite image in order to properly study it. This is a time-consuming and major task. The mechanisation of the extraction of various parts of this information has been catered to by scientists in a variety of methods over the course of many decades. As a result, during the past couple of years, machine learning and deep learning-based technologies have shown to be useful and reliable options. During the recent decade, cutting-edge, DL-assisted approaches to satellite image examination have been widely adopted. In [22], the semantic partitioning of ranch regions in low-goal satellite images was introduced, which made use of the DeepLab v3+ model and the VGG-16 model. In [23], the encoder-decoder architecture for SegNet based organisation was used to distinguish structures, vegetation, and structures on high-resolution satellite images, and this was the second time the design was used. The authors of [24] had developed an approach for programmatically extracting data from a large-scale data set of satellite photographs. For this reason, they have made use of SegNet [25] and U-Net [26] networks. On the basis of satellite images, a system for dividing the sea surface has been developed [27]. The method was dependent on the usage of a U-Net [26] and an exchange information-based paradigm, both of which were implemented. Using AffinityNet [29] to do direct semantic division in images, the inventor of [28] achieved the desired result. It was primarily used by the U-net [26] engineering to accomplish semantic division of mists in satellite images by employing the exchange learning technique in [30]. In this proposal, we have also introduced both machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL)-based methodologies in the previous sections, where the results demonstrate that the strategies are reliable for real-world applications.

A. S. Parihar and colleagues [31] describe a system for dividing satellite images into subsets. The suggested calculation accomplishes effective division by taking into account many aspects of satellite images, such as the Hughes wonder, the high relationship between unearthly groupings, and so forth, to achieve effective division. The proposed approach is based on a grouping-based division technique that divides the picture into distinct districts, each of which corresponds to a different land cover map. It makes use of type 2 fluffy frameworks as well as differential growth to create precise and precise sections. The recommended method calculation necessitates the determination of the number of bunches in advance of the calculation. It was decided to allow the suggested calculation by comparing its worth to the worth of group legitimacy records, an outline file, and remarkable calculations.

According to J. Patravali et al., in [32], they have developed a 2D and 3D division method for entirely mechanised division of cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). CNN is critical to the strategy's success. It incorporates a 2D division model design, similar to that found in [26] [33] took advantage of the capacity of worldwide setting data through various area-based setting accumulation using their pyramid pooling module in conjunction with the proposed pyramid scene parsing network to create a more realistic environment for their simulation (PSPNet). Their worldwide earlier representation has proven to be appealing in terms of producing authentic quality outcomes on the scene parsing task, while PSPNet provides a more structured structure than pixel-level expectations. With respect to different datasets, the proposed technique achieves best in class performance. In the Im-ageNet scene parsing challenge 2016, the PASCAL VOC 2012 benchmark, and the Cityscapes benchmark, it was the starting point for everything. On PASCAL VOC 2012, a single PSPNet produced mIoU precision of 85.4 percent and exactness of 80.2 percent on Cityscapes, respectively.

The authors of [34] describe an approach for street division that makes use of fully convolutional neural organisations (FCNNs) in synthetic aperture radar (SAR) images. In [35], a convolutional encoder-decoder network-based technique for learning visual portrayals was introduced in a more extensive scope of satellite images to learn visual portrayals. [36] describes a profound learning strategy for programmed extraction of significant facts from massive measured satellite picture information, which is based on deep reinforcement learning. The technique is based on two kinds of convolutional neural nets known as SegNet and U-Net, which are both used in machine learning. A image division technique is reported by the authors [37], who demonstrated that in their strategy, highlights extracted from conventional CNN models are utilised in a picture marking computation that does not require any preparation.

According to the creators [38], they have developed a DL-based intuitive division system that makes use of CNNs in conjunction with a leaping box and scrawl-based division pipeline. Using image specific adjustment, the approach has been developed to create a CNN model adaptable to a specific test picture, which can be either solo or regulated.

Using mark guides and labels from pictures, a strategy for double picture division (DIS) has been proposed in [39], in which pictures are rebuilt using the predicted guides created from the mark guides and labels from the photos. Picture division strategy that is dependent on profound learning provisions as well as local area identification have been taken into consideration in [40]. The technique has made use of a pre-prepared CNN to separate the profound learning components of the image from the rest of the picture.

3. Methodology and Approach

Clearly, large-scale organisations necessitate a significant amount of planning and discussion of information. Because of the content extraction of satellite images, every pixel of the images that are being prepared must be cleared, which is a difficult task to complete in all circumstances. Once more, unaided learning techniques have not been particularly fruitful for the extraction of locations from satellite images because they fall short of the concept of classes and have only attempted to recognise reliable districts [41] based on specific similitudes, as has been the case in the past. There is an extent to which a combination of unaided planning and marked information can be used to deal with applicable contributions and provide dependable organisation in order to achieve this. A semantic division of normal pictures is achieved by using two organisations (division and discriminator) that are constructed in an adversarial fashion for the purpose of the calculation in [42]. The technique described in [42] is primarily concerned with the organisation of a totally convolutional discriminator network. Also included are administrative indicators from dependable sources of predicted unlabeled photographs, which are permitted legitimate preparation of the division organisation in the calculation.

The work detailed here instead discusses the technique, investigations, and outcomes associated with efforts made to extricate various areas from satellite pictures, where two organisations for division and discriminator are utilised in conjunction with the semi-directed ill-disposed calculation to obtain the semantic marks of various districts in the pictures. For each given satellite picture, the yields of the division network are the likelihood guides of the semantic markings, which are then used as measurements to indicate the type of preparation that will be required in the next cycles. The semi-administered misfortune is calculated with the assistance of the certainty map. It is necessary to prepare the division network once again when unlabeled information photos are used as information, and this time the semi-administered misfortune is employed. The division network has devised a strategy to mitigate this catastrophe. Additional to that, in this work, a semi-administered antagonistic learning technique with design extension and a couple of different increases is introduced, which is used to remove various locations of high value (RoIs) from satellite images. These locations of high value (RoIs) consist of forest land (forest land), agriculture land (rangeland), urban land (urban land), barren ground (barren ground), water (water), and so on. Despite the fact that the material is not labelled, some management is implemented during the preparation process in the proposed approach. Despite the fact that the calculation for semi-directed ill-disposed learning detailed in [42] has been adopted for this work in order to obtain the semantic marks of various areas in the satellite images, significant changes have been made in the design and maintenance component, as discussed beneath, in order to infer improved execution and unwavering quality for the satellite images.

The division and discriminator net-works that are used in the suggested technique are the engineering behind it. It is meant for semantic division, and the discrimi-nator network assists in enhancing and dependable yield from the division organisation, both of which are supported by the division network. In order to achieve better results, the technique is being tested with four different information management instruments.

Furthermore, to eliminate the RoIs from the satellite images, an aggregate of seven classifiers is used in conjunction with each other. As a result, the implications of each of the classifiers, notably MLP, FCM, Softmax, SVM, KNN, SOM, and PNN, are examined, and the most appropriate classifier for the separation of the RoIs is found by comparing the results of the various classifiers. The variety of the input feed and the variety of the information aid in the learning of deep neural networks (DNN). DNNs have also undergone modifications and fundamental developments to improve the nature of information information, which in turn increases the volume of datasets, jumper sity, and content lavishness, as well as to assist with a better assessment and examination of the organization's exhibitions and yield quality, among other things [43]. In this work, four strategies for ensuring that the contribution to the organisation is taken care of have been employed. There are four types of information handling: 1) direct information handling, 2) information images being fed with a time delay for test expansion, 3) information pictures being fed with noisy ejection and edge honing, and 4) scrambled information handling.

It has been decided to use the Deeplab-v2 [44] structure in conjunction with the ResNet-101 [45] model in this study as the division network [42]. The steps of the last two convolutional layers are reduced from two to one, and the last order layer is removed, in order to make the yield include map aim feasible for 12.5 percent (1/8) of the total information picture size, as measured by the information picture size. It was decided to use the expanded convolution [46] in the conv4 and the conv5 layers, with 2 and 4 walks, respectively, in order to supplement the open fields. Finally, an up-testing layer with the softmax layer is applied to ensure that the information picture size is coordinated with the information picture size. These are carried out in order to determine the robustness of the suggested strategy.

As a benchmark approach, a multilayer perceptron (MLP) [47], which is a feed-forward Artificial Neural Net-work (ANN) with several secret layers and built with backpropagation (BP) calculation, is used. As a result, for the purpose of establishing choice groups, the Fuzzy C-Means (FCM) bunching process is employed, which makes use of closeness estimates such as distance, network, and power. Depending on the information or the application, several likeness metrics might be selected [99]. As a result, during grouping, procedures such as administered (MLP) and solo

(FCM) approaches are used. Furthermore, a number of additional classifiers, including delicate max, SVM, KNN, SOM, and PNN, are used to evaluate the efficacy of the MLP and FCM classifiers for the extraction of material from satellite images. In order to prepare the softmax classifier, the BP calculation with stochastic inclination plunge is performed (SGD). The outspread premise work is selected as the piece work in the SVM algorithm. KNN makes use of information prepared for seven classes, after which the nearest neighbour calculation is used to separate each individual tone on a picture. SOM is a single-node ANN that is used in conjunction with 9 x 9 hubs and hexagonal geographies. As previously stated, PNN is a solitary ANN that is prepared with a learning rate of 0.04 and 1000 preparing steps in the preparation process.

5 Results and Conclusion

The tests carried out and the results obtained demonstrate that the semi-directed antagonistic learning technique used to extract distinct regions of interest (RoIs) from satellite images is effective, despite the fact that proper prior data was not readily available. Because the calculation is semi-directed, it has made use of both marked and unlabelled information in order to get superior results. The technique has been tested with four different information handling components, including direct information handling, information handling with time delay for test increase, information handling with commotion evacuation, edge honing, and mixed information feed, which improves the quality of information information by providing a higher connection. Similarly, the results obtained from the classifiers are examined in detail. Among the different classifiers, it is seen that the softmax classification algorithm produces preferential outcomes. Thus, the benefits of adopting the proposed strategy are self-evident in the long run.

Robotized and nonstop extraction of material from satellite images using learning-based techniques necessitates the productive preparation of a profound learning network with appropriate prior information, which may not be available in all circumstances. In this case, the semi-managed ill-disposed learning technique has been used to extract multiple regions of interest (RoIs) from satellite images. The concept manages the extraction of important material from satellite images through the use of learning-based methodologies, despite the fact that proper prior data is not readily available. The DeepGlobe Land Cover Classification Challenge dataset is being used to determine whether or not the proposed approach should be implemented. Despite the fact that some information is left unlabeled, some oversight is included in the proposed approach in order to prepare for the establishment of a composite organisation. It is made up of two learning-based organisations that are used for division and separation, and they are both constructed using the antagonistic calculation. The calculation that is being semi-administered makes use of both labelled and unlabeled information in order to produce superior results. Finally, the outputs of the division network are used as a contribution in the preparation of a classifier for removing the RoIs from the satellite images captured. MLP (controlled learning), softmax (directed learning), SVM (regulated learning), KNN (administered learning), SOM (solo learning), PNN (unaided learning), and FCM are the classifiers that were used (solo). The strategy is tested with four different information management instruments, including direct information management, information management pictures with time delay for test expansion, information management pictures with commotion expulsion, edge honing, and mixed information feed, which improves the nature of information information by providing a higher degree of relationship. Several cutting-edge studies are presented alongside a comparison of the effects of an antagonistic organisational structure. A second step involves examining the results obtained from the classifiers themselves. Among the different classifiers, it is seen that the softmax classification algorithm produces preferential outcomes. Despite the fact that the semi-directed ill-disposed technique is effective in overcoming the problem of limited preparation information for high-resolution satellite images, these images have a second significant problem to overcome. During the acquisition and transmission stages, the images are regularly polluted by arbitrary disturbance, which has the potential to have an impact on the overall division outcomes.

References

[1] M. Barthakur and K.K. Sarma, *Deep Learning Based Semantic Segmentation Applied to Satellite Image*, In Data Visualization and Knowledge Engineering. Lecture Notes on Data Engineering and Communications Technologies, vol 32. pp. 17- 57, Springer, Cham,2019.

[2] A. Krizhevsky, I. Sutskever, G. Hinton, *Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks*, Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, vol.2, no. 7, pp. 1-7,2012.

[3] K. Simonyan, A. Zisserman, *Very Deep Convolutional Networks for Large-Scale ImageRecognition*,IEEEInternationalGeoscienceandRemoteSensingSymposium (IGARSS), pp. 3226-3229, Fort Worth, TX,2014.

[4] K. He, X. Zhang, S. Ren, and J. Sun, *Deep residual learning for image recognition*, in Proceedings of IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition(CVPR), pp. 3431-3440, Boston, MA,2017.

[5] R. Girshick, J. Donahue, T. Darrell, J. Malik *Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation*, in Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 77-98,2014.

[6] G.Cheng,Z.Li,J.Han,X.YaoandL.Guo,*ExploringHierarchicalConvolutional FeaturesforHyperspectralImageClassification*,inIEEETransactionsonGeoscience andRemoteSensing,vol.56,no.11,pp.6712-6722, Nov. 2018.

[7] P. Zhou, J. Han, G. Cheng and B. Zhang, *Learning Compact and Discriminative StackedAutoencoderforHyperspectralImageClassification*,inIEEETransactionson GeoscienceandRemoteSensing,vol.57,no.7,pp.4823-4833,July2019.

[8] M. Wurma, T. Starkb, X. X. Zhubc,M. Weigandad, H. Taubenbocka, Semantic segmen- tation of slums in satellite images using transfer learning on fully convolutional neural networks, ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, Elsevier. vol. 150, pp. 59-69 April2019.

[9] J. Long , E. Shelhamer, and T. Darrell, *Fully convolutional networks for semantic segmentation*, in Proceedings of IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), pp. 3431-3440, Boston, MA,2015.

[10] L. Zhu, Y. Chen, P. Ghamisi and J. A. Benediktsson,*Generative Adversarial Networks for Hyperspectral Image Classification*,IEEE Transaction on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, vol. 56, no. 9, pp. 5046-5062,2018.

[11] I. Goodfellow, Y. Bengio and A. Courville, *Deep Learning (Adaptive Computation and Machine Learning series)*, Regularization for Deep Learning, Deep Learning, The MIT Press, pp. 145-245,2016.

[12] I.Goodfellow,*Generativeadversarialnets*,inProceedingsofNIPS,Montreal,QC, Canada, pp. 2672-2680,2014.

[13] J. Han, D. Zhang, G. Cheng, L. Guo and J. Ren, *Object Detection in Optical RemoteSensingImagesBasedonWeaklySupervisedLearningandHigh-LevelFeature Learning*,inIEEETransactionsonGeoscienceandRemoteSensing,vol.53,no.6,pp. 3325-3337, June2015.

[14] B.Benjdira,Y.Bazi,A.KoubaaandK.Ouni,*UnsupervisedDomainAdaptationUsing Generative Adversarial Networks for Semantic Segmentation of Aerial Images*, Remote Sens., vol.11, No.1369,2019.

[15] P. Luc, C. Couprie, S. Chintala and J. Verbeek, *Semantic Segmentation using Adver- sarial Networks*, in Proceedings of NIPS Workshop on Adversarial Training, Barcelona, Spain, pp.1-6,2016.

[16] N. Souly, C. Spampinato and M. Shah, *Semi Supervised Semantic SegmentationUsing Generative Adversarial Network*, in Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV), pp.1-7, Venice, Italy,2017.

[17] W.C.Hung,Y.H.Tsai,Y.T.Liou,Y.Y. LinandM.H.Yang,*AdversarialLearningfor Semi-Supervised Semantic Segmentation*, Computer Vision and PatternRecognition, vol. 6, pp. 1-23,2018.

[18] J. Han, D. Zhang, G. Cheng, L. Guo and J. Ren, *Object Detection in Optical RemoteSensingImagesBasedonWeaklySupervisedLearningandHigh-LevelFeature Learning*,inIEEETransactionsonGeoscienceandRemoteSensing,vol.53,no.6,pp. 3325-3337, June2015.

[19] S. Akcay, A. Atapour-Abarghouei and T.P. Breckon, *GANomaly: Semi-supervised Anomaly Detection via Adversarial Training*, In, C. Jawahar, H.Li, G. Mori, K. K. Schindler(eds)ComputerVision-ACCV2018.LectureNotesinComputerScience,vol 11363. Springer,Cham.,2018

[20] D. Zhang, J. Han, G. Guo and L. Zhao, *Learning Object Detectors With Semi- Annotated Weak Labels*, in IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology, vol. 29, no. 12, pp. 3622-3635, Dec.2019.

[21] M. Y. Saifi, J. Singla and Nikita, *Deep Learning based Framework for Semantic SegmentationofSatelliteImages*,2020FourthInternationalConferenceonComputing MethodologiesandCommunication(ICCMC),pp.369-374,Erode,India,2020.

[22] S. Sharifzadeh, J. Tata, H. Sharifzadeh , B. Tan, *Farm Area Segmentation in Satellite Images Using DeepLabv3+ Neural Networks*. In: Hammoudi S., Quix C., Bernardino J. (eds) Data Management Technologies and Applications, Communications in Computer and Information Science, vol 1255. Springer, Cham,2020.

[23] M.C.YounisandE.Keedwell,*Semanticsegmentationonsmalldatasetsofsatellite*

imagesusingconvolutionalneuralnetworks,JournalofAppliedRemoteSensing,vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 046510,2019.

[24] T. Selea and M. Neagul, "Using Deep Networks for Semantic Segmentation of Satellite Images," in Proceedings of 19th International Symposium on Symbolic and Numeric Algorithms for Scientific Computing (SYNASC), Timisoara, pp. 409-415,2017.

[25] V. Badrinarayanan, A. Kendall, R. Cipolla *SegNet: A Deep Convolutional Encoder-DecoderArchitectureforImageSegmentation*,IEEETransactionsonPatternAnalysis and Machine Intelligence , vol-39, pp. 2481 - 2495,2017.

[26] O.Ronneberger,P.Fischer,T.Brox,*U-Net:ConvolutionalNetworksforBiomedical ImageSegmentation*,inProceedingsofFourthInternationalSymposiumonInforma-tionScienceandEngineering,ComputerScienceDepartmentandBIOSSCentrefor BiologicalSignallingStudies,UniversityofFreiburg,Germany,2015.

[27] J.Gonzalez,K.Sankaran,V.AymaandC.Beltran,*ApplicationofSemanticSegmenta- tion with Few Labels in the Detection of Water Bodies from Perusat-1 Satellite's Images*, IEEE Latin American GRSS and ISPRS Remote Sensing Conference (LAGIRS), pp. 483-487, Santiago, Chile,2020.

[28] A.NivaggioliandH.Randrianarivo,*WeaklySupervisedSemanticSegmentationof SatelliteImages*,2019JointUrbanRemoteSensingEvent(JURSE),pp.1-4,Vannes, France,2019.

[29] T. Ma and A. Zhang, *AffinityNet: Semi-supervised few-shot learning for disease type prediction*, in Proceedings of AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, vol. 33, pp. 1069-1076,2019.

[30] C. Gonzales and W. Sakla , *Semantic Segmentation of Clouds in Satellite Imagery Using Deep Pre-trained U-Nets*, IEEE Applied Imagery Pattern Recognition Workshop (AIPR), pp. 1-7, Washington, DC, USA,2019.

[31] A.S.Parihar,"Satelliteimagesegmentationbasedondifferentialevolution",inPro-ceedingsofInternationalConferenceonIntelligentSustainableSystems(ICISS),pp. 621-624, Palladam,2017.

[32] J. Patravali , S. Jain , S. Chilamkurthy ,*2D-3D Fully Convolutional Neural Networks for Cardiac MR Segmentation.* In proceedings of Statistical Atlases and Computational Models of the Heart. ACDC and MMWHS Challenges. STACOM 2017. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol 10663. Springer, Cham,2018.

[33] H. Zhao, J. Shi, X. Qi, X, Wang, J. Jia *Pyramid Scene Parsing Network*, in Proceedings of International Conference on Intelligent Sustainable Systems (ICISS), pp. 621-624, Palladam,2016.

[34] C. Henry, S. M. Azimi and N. Merkle,*Road Segmentation in SAR Satellite Images With Deep Fully Convolutional Neural Networks*, in IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters, vol. 15, no. 12, pp. 1867-1871, Dec.2018.

[35] S. Ghassemi, A. Fiandrotti, G. Francini and E. Magli, *Learning and Adapting Ro-bustFeaturesforSatelliteImageSegmentationonHeterogeneousDataSets*,inIEEE TransactionsonGeoscienceandRemoteSensing,vol.57,no.9,pp.6517-6529,Sept. 2019.

[36] T. Selea and M. Neagul, "Using Deep Networks for Semantic Segmentation of Satellite Images," in Proceedings of 19th International Symposium on Symbolic and Numeric Algorithms for Scientific Computing (SYNASC), Timisoara, pp. 409-415,2017.

[37] S. Sunetci and H. F. Ates, *Semantic image segmentation with deep features*, in Proceed- ings of 26th Signal Processing and Communications Applications Conference (SIU), Izmir, pp.1-6,2018.

[38] G. Wang et al., *Interactive Medical Image Segmentation Using Deep Learning With Image-SpecificFineTuning*,inIEEETransactionsonMedicalImaging,vol.37,no.7, pp. 1562-1573, July,2018.

[39] P. Luo, G. Wang, L. Lin and X. Wang, *Deep Dual Learning for Semantic Image Segmentation*,inProceedingsofIEEEInternationalConferenceonComputerVision (ICCV), Venice, pp. 2737-2745, 2017.

[40] D. Liao, H. Lu, X. Xu and Q. Gao, *Image Segmentation Based on Deep Learning Features*,inProceedingsofEleventhInternationalConferenceonAdvancedComputational Intelligence (ICACI),pp. 296-301, Guilin, China,2019,

[41] H. Valpola, *From neural pca to deep unsupervised learning*, Advances in Independent Component Analysis and Learning Machines, vol. 7 no.4, pp. 143-171,2015.

[42] W.C.Hung,Y.H.Tsai,Y.T.Liou,Y.Y. LinandM.H.Yang,*AdversarialLearningfor Semi-Supervised Semantic Segmentation*, Computer Vision and PatternRecognition, vol. 6, pp. 1-23,2018.

[43] M. Abdelhack, *A Comparison of Data Augmentation Techniques in Training Deep NeuralNetworksforSatelliteImageClassification*.ComputerVisionandPatternRecog- nition, ArXiv,abs/2003.13502,2020.

[44] L.C. Chen, G. Papandreou, I. Kokkinos, K. Murphy, and A. L.Yuille. *Deeplab: Semanticimagesegmentationwithdeepconvolutionalnets,atrousconvolution,andfully connected crfs*. In TPAMI,2017.

[45] K. He, X. Zhang, S. Ren, and J. Sun, *Deep residual learning for image recognition*, in Proceedings of IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition(CVPR), pp. 3431-3440, Boston, MA,2017.

[46] F. Yu and V. Koltun, *Multi-scale context aggregation by dilated convolutions*,in ProceedingsofIEEEInternationalConferenceonComputerVision(ICCV),pp.1-7, Venice, Italy,2016..

[47] M.Barthakur,K.K.SarmaandN.Mastorakis,*NeuralNetworkmethodsforImage Segmentation*,InAppliedPhysics,SystemScienceandComputersII,APSACLecture NotesinElectricalEngineering,vol.489.Springer,Cham,2019.

[48] D. Patra, M. K. Das and S. Pradhan,*Integration of FCM, PCA and Neural Networks for Classification of ECG Arrhythmias* IAENG International Journal of Computer Science, vol.36, No.3, pp.3-8,2010.